

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/989,881	12/12/1997	JEN SHEEN	08472/716002	9398	
21559	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET			COLLINS, C	COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1638		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	08/989,881	SHEEN, JEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Cynthia Collins	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·	<u></u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	A parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		., .,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
	_					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	- 450			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0704</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC	<b>)-152)</b>			
•						

Application/Control Number: 08/989,881

Art Unit: 1638

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed August 22, 2005 in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 22, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1, 24 and 36 are currently amended.

Claims 2-5, 8-23, 27-35 and 47-48 are cancelled.

Claims 1, 6-7, 24-26, 36-46 and 49-50 are pending and are examined.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

All previous objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: the reference to Fig 5 and the use of parentheses in the claim is confusing. Appropriate correction is required. It is suggested that the claim be amended to delete the reference to Fig 5 and the parentheses, and to simply recite "the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1".

Application/Control Number: 08/989,881

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Page 3

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 25 and 26 are drawn to a seed and cell from a transgenic plant of claim 24, but are not limited to a seed and cell that comprise the substantially pure DNA that was used to transform the transgenic plant. A plant comprising a substantially pure DNA may not comprise a substantially pure DNA in all of its cells as transformation techniques often result in the production of chimeras. Accordingly a seed or a cell from such a plant also may not comprise a substantially pure DNA. Given that there is no indication that there are any other distinguishable characteristics of the claimed seed and cell, the claimed seed and cell are not distinguishable from seeds and cells that occur in nature. See *Diamond v. Chakrabarty*, 447 U.S. 303 (1980), *Funk Bros. Seed Co. V. Kalo Inoculant Co.*, 233 U.S. 127 (1948), and *In re Bergey*, 195 USPQ 344, (CCPA). The amendment of the claims to indicate that the seed and cell comprise the substantially pure DNA of the transgenic plant would overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1638

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murata N. et al. (Genetically engineered alteration in the chilling sensitivity of plants. Nature. 23 April 1992, Vol. 356, pages 710 - 713).

Claims 25 and 26 are drawn to a seed and cell from a transgenic plant of claim 24, but are not limited to a seed and cell that comprise the substantially pure DNA that was used to transform the transgenic plant. A plant comprising a substantially pure DNA may not comprise a substantially pure DNA in all of its cells as transformation techniques often result in the production of chimeras. Accordingly a seed or a cell from such a plant also may not comprise a substantially pure DNA. Given that there is no indication that there are any other distinguishable characteristics of the claimed seed and cell, the claimed seed and cell are not distinguishable from seeds and cells that occur in nature. Accordingly, claims 25 and 26 are anticipated by Murata N. et al. who teach tobacco plants which inherently comprise cells and produce seed. The amendment of the claims to indicate that the seed and cell comprise the substantially pure DNA of the transgenic plant would overcome the rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 6-7, 24, 36-39, 41-46 and 49-50 are allowed.

Claim 40 is objected to.

Claims 25-26 are rejected.

### Remarks

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Collins whose telephone number is (571) 272-0794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:45 AM -5:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cynthia Collins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1638

CC

Centria Collins
10/27/05